

Chapter 12 Eigenvalues & Iterative methods for Solving Linear Systems.

§ 12.2 Iterative Methods for $Ax=b$.

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. If n is very large, iterative methods are very useful.

(read example of the Poisson's eqn. on the unit cube in 3D on page 327.)

Iterative methods deal with big size & storage difficulties, they can do much faster than GE.

To avoid having many iterations during the computation process, lin. system $Ax=b$ can be modified: a preconditioner or matrix splitting can be done. For instance, one may replace the system by the preconditioned system $M^{-1}Ax = M^{-1}b$

(M is a preconditioner), such that $M^{-1}A$ is close to identity ($\Rightarrow M^{-1}Ax = M^{-1}b$ is easy to solve).

Note: there is no need to form $M^{-1}A$, we only need to compute the product of $M^{-1}A$ with a given vector v . How?

Compute $Av \Rightarrow$ solve $Mw = Av$ for w :
 $w = M^{-1}Av$.

Examples of M :
- the diagonal of A
- lower (or upper) triangle of A

§ 12.2.2 Simple Iteration.

Solving $Ax=b$.

Let M be a preconditioner.

unknown

↓ ↓

$e^{(0)} = x - x^{(0)}$
 sol. guess
 $= A^{-1}b - x^{(0)}$
 can't compute!

Given an initial guess $x^{(0)}$, compute:
 $r^{(0)} = b - Ax^{(0)}$, and solve $Mz^{(0)} = r^{(0)}$ for $z^{(0)}$.
 residual → can't use error!
 (Note: $r^{(0)} = Ax - Ax^{(0)} = A(x - x^{(0)}) = A \underbrace{e^{(0)}}_{\text{error}}$)
 For $k=1, 2, \dots$
 Set $x^{(k)} = x^{(k-1)} + z^{(k-1)}$
 Compute $r^{(k)} = b - Ax^{(k)}$
 Solve $Mz^{(k)} = r^{(k)}$ for $z^{(k)}$

Idea: If M is such that $M^{-1}A \approx I$, with $Mz^{(0)} = r^{(0)}$ easy to solve, then we can approximate $e^{(0)}$ by $M^{-1}r^{(0)} = z^{(0)} \Rightarrow x^{(1)} = x^{(0)} + z^{(0)}$, and repeat.

Depending on M used, this algorithm goes by different names:

- Jacobi iteration if $M = \text{diag}(A)$
- The Gauss-Seidel method if $M = \text{tril}(A)$ (low triangle of A)
- The Successive Overrelaxation Method (SOR) ≈ 1950 if $M = \omega^{-1}D - L$
 - ω is a parameter to accelerate convergence
 - $D = \text{diag}(A)$
 - strict low triangle of A

Let us take a look at this simple iteration from another pt of view:

Write $A = M - N$ ← matrix splitting
(for some matrices M, N)

Then $Ax = (M - N)x = b \Rightarrow Mx = Nx + b$
or $x = M^{-1}Nx + M^{-1}b$.

If $x^{(0)}$ is an initial guess, then for $k \geq 1$, $x^{(k)} = M^{-1}Nx^{(k-1)} + M^{-1}b$

Same as in the simple iteration,

Since $M^{-1}N = M^{-1}(\underbrace{M - A}_{"N"}) = I - M^{-1}A$

$\Rightarrow x^{(k)} = (I - M^{-1}A)x^{(k-1)} + M^{-1}b$
 $= x^{(k-1)} - M^{-1}(b - Ax^{(k-1)})$
 $= x^{(k-1)} + z^{(k-1)}$
 $\rightarrow \text{sol. to } Mz^{(k-1)} = r^{(k-1)}$

Example: $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$

Approximate solution to $Ax = b$ using two steps of the Jacobi & Gauss-Seidel methods. Let $x^{(0)} = (0, 0)^T$

① Jacobi: $M = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow$

$x^{(1)} = x^{(0)} + z^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} =$
 $\underbrace{M^{-1}r^{(0)}}_{r^{(0)} = b - Ax^{(0)}}$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1/2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$r^{(1)} = b - Ax^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1/2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1/2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow z^{(1)} = M^{-1}r^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1/2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/4 \\ 1/4 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow x^{(2)} = x^{(1)} + z^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1/2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1/4 \\ 1/4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3/4 \\ 3/4 \end{pmatrix}$$

If we continue, we'll see that the sequence $x^{(0)}, x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \dots$, moves closer to the true solution $x = (1, 1)^T$.

② The Gauss-Seidel: $M = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}}_{\text{tril}(A)}$

$$\Rightarrow x^{(1)} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{x^{(0)}} + \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}}_{M^{-1}} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}}_{r^{(0)}}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & 0 \\ 1/4 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 \\ 3/4 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$r^{(1)} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}}_b - \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}}_A \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1/2 \\ 3/4 \end{pmatrix}}_{x^{(1)}} = \begin{pmatrix} 3/4 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow$$

$$z^{(1)} = M^{-1}r^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & 0 \\ 1/4 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 3/4 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3/8 \\ 3/16 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow x^{(2)} = x^{(1)} + z^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 7/8 \\ 15/16 \end{pmatrix} \rightsquigarrow \text{closer to } x = (1, 1)^T$$

than $x^{(1)}$ in Jacobi method.

(See Ex 12.2.2 p. 331)

Convergence (§12.2.3)

Questions to ask:

- ① Does the simple iteration converge to the true solution x^* ?
- ② If so, how fast does it converge?

Look at the k th step:

$$\begin{aligned}
 e^{(k)} &= x^* - x^{(k)} = A^{-1}b - x^{(k)} \\
 &= A^{-1}b - (I - M^{-1}A)x^{(k-1)} - M^{-1}b \\
 &= \underbrace{A^{-1}b - x^{(k-1)}}_{e^{(k-1)}} + (M^{-1}Ax^{(k-1)} - M^{-1}b) \\
 &= e^{(k-1)} + M^{-1}A(x^{(k-1)} - \underbrace{A^{-1}b}_{x^*}) \\
 &= (I - M^{-1}A)e^{(k-1)} \Rightarrow
 \end{aligned}$$

$$e^{(k)} = (I - M^{-1}A)^2 e^{(k-2)} = \dots = (I - M^{-1}A)^k e^{(0)}$$

and

$$\|e^{(k)}\| \leq \|(I - M^{-1}A)^k\| \|e^{(0)}\|$$

Theorem (12.2.1) The norm of the error $\|e^{(k)}\| \rightarrow 0$ & $x^{(k)} \rightarrow A^{-1}b$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, for every initial error $e^{(0)}$, iff

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|(I - M^{-1}A)^k\| = 0 \quad (\text{Proof: p. 333})$$

So, for stopping criteria, one can choose:

- 1) max. number of iterations achieved;
- 2) iterate while $\|r^{(k)}\|_2 > \epsilon_{\text{tolerance}}$.
(eg., 10^{-6})

Ex: See the MATLAB code for Jacobi iteration.

(7)

To explain the result in Thm (12.2.1) further, we'll use the spectral radius:

Def: The spectral radius of $n \times n$ matrix G is $\rho(G) = \max \{ |\lambda|, \lambda = \text{eig}(G) \}$.

Theorem (12.2.2) \leftarrow relates $\rho(A)$ to norms of A .

For every matrix norm $\|\cdot\|$ & every $n \times n$ matrix G , $\rho(G) \leq \|G\|$. Given $G_{n \times n}$ & any $\epsilon > 0$, there is a matrix norm $\|\cdot\|$, induced by a certain vector norm, s.t. $\|G\| \leq \rho(G) + \epsilon$.

Proof: First part: $\rho(G) \leq \|G\|$

Note that there exists at least one eigenvalue λ with $|\lambda| = \rho(G)$ (by def.)
If \vec{v} is an eigenvector associated with this λ , and if $V_{n \times n} = [\vec{v} | \vec{v} | \dots | \vec{v}]$,
then $GV = \lambda V$, and
for any matrix norm $\|\cdot\|$, $|\lambda| \cdot \|V\| = \|\lambda V\|$
 $= \|GV\| \leq \|G\| \cdot \|V\|$
 $\Rightarrow \rho(G) \leq \|G\|$.

Second part: p.p. 333-334, using Thm 12.1.4 (Schur form): $\exists Q, T$ s.t. $G = QTQ^*$
 \leftarrow unitary matrix ($Q^* = Q^{-1}$)
 \leftarrow upper-triangular \square

Theorem (12.2.3) \rightarrow Consequence of Thm (12.2.2)

Let G be an $n \times n$ matrix. Then $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} G^k = 0$
iff $\rho(G) < 1$.

Proof: (\Rightarrow) $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} G^k = 0$. If $\lambda v = Gv \Rightarrow$
(λ -eigvalue, v -eigenvector of G)
 $G^k v = \lambda^k v \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow \lambda$ must be s.t. $|\lambda| < 1 \Rightarrow$
 $\rho(G) < 1$. $k \rightarrow \infty$

(\Leftarrow) Let $\rho(G) < 1 \Rightarrow \exists \|\cdot\|$ s.t. $\forall \epsilon > 0$
(from Thm. 12.2.2)

$$\|G\| \leq \rho(G) + \epsilon \Rightarrow \|G\| < 1.$$

Then $\|G^k\| \leq \|G\|^k \rightarrow 0$. Since all
 $k \rightarrow \infty$

the norms on the set of $n \times n$ matrices
are equivalent, i.e. for any two norms,
 $\|\cdot\|$ & $\|\cdot\|_1$, \exists constants C_1 & C_2 s.t.

$C_1 \|G\| \leq \|G\|_1 \leq C_2 \|G\|$, then it follows
that $\|G^k\| \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} 0$ for any $\|\cdot\|$.

If we take $\|\cdot\|_1$ (or $\|\cdot\|_\infty$), then
since $\|\cdot\|_1$ is the maximum absolute col. sum,
and $\|G^k\|_1 \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow$ all entries in G^k
must approach 0 as $k \rightarrow \infty$, i.e.,
 $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} G^k = 0$. \square

Theorem (12.2.4) ← Thm. (12.2.1) restated!

The norm of the error in the simple iteration converges to 0 & $x^{(k)} \rightarrow A^{-1}b$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for every $e^{(0)}$ (i.e., initial guess $x^{(0)}$) iff $\rho(I - M^{-1}A) < 1$.

→ Good! But it's not easy to check in practice. For instance, one does not know the spectral radius of $I - M^{-1}A$ or/and if this radius $\rho < 1$ or not. This condition is verified for some matrices. In particular, if A is strictly diagonally dominant (i.e. $|a_{ii}| > \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}| \rightarrow$ each diag. entry is greater in magnitude than the sum of the magnitudes of the off-diagonal entries in the row) then the following theorem holds:

Theorem (12.2.5)

If A is strictly diagonally dominant, then the Jacobi iteration converges to the unique solution of the linear system $Ax = b$, for any initial guess $x^{(0)}$.

Sketch of Proof: $M = \text{diag}(A) \Rightarrow$

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & & & \\ & a_{22} & & \\ & & \dots & \\ & & & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow$$

$G = I - M^{-1}A$ has 0's on the diagonal ($g_{ii} = 0$)
 & $g_{ij} = -a_{ij}/a_{ii}$ ($i \neq j$)

$$\|G\|_{\infty} = \max_i \sum_{j \neq i} \left| \frac{a_{ij}}{a_{ii}} \right| = \max_i \frac{1}{|a_{ii}|} \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}|$$

Since A is strictly diagonally dominant
 ($|a_{ii}| > \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}|$) then $\|G\|_{\infty} < 1$

From Thm. 12.2.2, $\forall \|\cdot\|$, $\rho(G) \leq \|G\|$.

Thus, $\rho(G) = \rho(I - M^{-1}A) < 1 \Rightarrow$ by Thm. 12.2.4,
 $x^{(k)} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} x^* = A^{-1}b$.

(Also: one can show that since A is strictly diagonally dominant, A is nonsingular \Rightarrow solution to $Ax = b$ is unique) \square

Note: It can be shown that the Gauss-Seidel method converges to the true solution for strictly diagonally dominant matrices too.

• If A is real, SPD (symmetric & positive definite) \Rightarrow the following Theorem holds:

Theorem 12.2.6: If A is a real, symmetric, and positive definite matrix, and $A = I + L + L^T$, then the Gauss-Seidel method converges to the unique solution of $Ax = b$, for any initial vector $x^{(0)}$.

Sketch of Proof:

- 1) PD \Rightarrow nonsingular \Rightarrow sol. is unique.
- 2) $I - M^{-1}A = I - (I + L)^{-1}A = I - (I + L)^{-1}(I + L + L^T)$
 $= I - I - (I + L)^{-1}L^T = - (I + L)^{-1}L^T$

If $\rho(- (I + L)^{-1}L^T) < 1 \Rightarrow$ we have convergence.

Consider $\lambda = \text{eig}(G)$ with \vec{v} s.t. $\lambda \vec{v} = G\vec{v}$ and \vec{v} is normalized, i.e. $\|\vec{v}\| = 1$.

$$\lambda \vec{v} = G\vec{v} = - (I + L)^{-1}L^T \vec{v}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda (I + L) \vec{v} = -L^T \vec{v}$$

$$\lambda \vec{v}^* (I + L) \vec{v} = -\vec{v}^* L^T \vec{v}$$

\vec{v}^* is a Hermitian transpose of \vec{v}

$$\lambda \left(\underbrace{\vec{v}^* \vec{v}}_1 + \underbrace{\vec{v}^* L \vec{v}}_{\alpha + i\beta} \right) = \underbrace{-\vec{v}^* L^T \vec{v}}_{-(\alpha - i\beta)}$$

$$\vec{v}^* = (\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n)^T$$

$\uparrow \quad \uparrow$
 complex conjugates

$$\Rightarrow \lambda (1 + \alpha + i\beta) = -\alpha + i\beta$$

$$|\lambda(1+d+i\beta)| = |-d+i\beta|$$

$$|\lambda| \sqrt{(1+d)^2 + \beta^2} = \sqrt{d^2 + \beta^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow |\lambda| = \frac{\sqrt{d^2 + \beta^2}}{\sqrt{d^2 + \beta^2 + 1 + 2d}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A \text{ is PD} &\Rightarrow \vec{v}^* A \vec{v} = \vec{v}^* (I + L + L^T) \vec{v} \\ &= 1 + \underbrace{\vec{v}^* L \vec{v}}_{d+i\beta} + \underbrace{\vec{v}^* L^T \vec{v}}_{d-i\beta} = 1 + 2d > 0 \end{aligned}$$

\Rightarrow since $1+2d < 0 \Rightarrow |\lambda| < 1 \Rightarrow \rho(G) < 1. \square$

Note: If $Ax=b$ and $A = D - L - U$ with

$$D = \text{diag}(A), \quad L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -a_{21} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -a_{n1} & \dots & -a_{nn-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{and } U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -a_{12} & \dots & -a_{1n} \\ 0 & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & -a_{n-1n} \\ 0 & \dots & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

then the simple iteration $x^{(k)} = (I - M^{-1}A)x^{(k-1)} + M^{-1}b$ takes form:

① Jacobi: $M = D$

$$x^{(k)} = \underbrace{D^{-1}(L+U)}_{T_J} x^{(k-1)} + D^{-1}b$$

② Gauss-Seidel: $M = D - L \Rightarrow$

$$\underbrace{(D - L - U)}_A x = b \Rightarrow (D - L)x = Ux + b \Rightarrow x = (D - L)^{-1} Ux + (D - L)^{-1} b$$

$$\Rightarrow x^{(k)} = \underbrace{(D - L)^{-1} U}_{T_G} x^{(k-1)} + (D - L)^{-1} b$$

③ Relaxation Techniques: $M = \omega^{-1} D - L$
($\omega = 1 \Rightarrow$ Gauss-Seidel)

$$A = (D - L - U) + (\omega^{-1} D - \omega^{-1} D) = (\omega^{-1} D - L) - (\omega^{-1} D - D) - U$$
$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{(\omega^{-1} D - L)}_A x = ((\omega^{-1} D - 1) D + U)x + b$$

$$x = (\omega^{-1} D - L)^{-1} ((\omega^{-1} - 1) D + U)x + (\omega^{-1} D - L)^{-1} b$$
$$\Rightarrow x^{(k)} = \underbrace{(\omega^{-1} D - L)^{-1} ((\omega^{-1} - 1) D + U)}_{T_\omega} x^{(k-1)} + \underbrace{(\omega^{-1} D - L)^{-1} b}_{c_\omega}$$

$0 < \omega < 1 \rightsquigarrow$ under-relaxation techniques

$1 < \omega < 2 \rightsquigarrow$ over-relaxation techniques
(SOR)

If A -SPD & $\omega \in (0, 2) \Rightarrow$ convergence $\forall x^{(0)}$.

Example: $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \\ -1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, b = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 4 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix}$

solution $x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$

(a) Show that $\rho(T_J) > 1$

(b) Show that $\rho(T_G) < 1$

$$(a) D = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (14)$$

$$D^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow T_J = D^{-1}(L+U) =$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1/2 & -1/2 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \text{eigenvalues of } T_J \text{ are}$$

$$\lambda_{1,2} = \pm \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2}i, \lambda_3 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \rho(T_J) = \left| \pm \frac{\sqrt{5}i}{2} \right| = \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2} > 1$$

\Rightarrow by Thm. 12.2.4, Jacobi iteration diverges.

$$(b) D-L = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, (D-L)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & 0 & 0 \\ -1/2 & 1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/4 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow T_G = (D-L)^{-1}U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1/2 & -1/2 \\ 0 & -1/2 & -1/2 \\ 0 & 0 & -1/2 \end{pmatrix}$$

\Rightarrow eigenvalues of T_G are $\lambda_{1,2} = -1/2$,
 $\lambda_3 = 0$

Thus, since $\rho(T_G) = 1/2 < 1$ then, by Thm 12.2.4,

the Gauss-Seidel method converges to the solution of $Ax=b$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.