

§ 7.2.3. LU w/ Pivoting

GE may fail:

Take $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow x_1 = 1 = x_2$$

But in GE: $\text{mult} = \frac{A_{21}}{A_{11}}$ is not defined.

(This may happen at 0! any stage of GE.)

Solution to this: to interchange the rows

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Strategy: 1) test if the denominator in "mult" is zero;

2) if so, search for a nonzero entry in the column, interchange the rows, and do the elimination.

Pivoting: the process of interchanging rows;

Pivot (element): the nonzero entry

(2)

This will work in exact arithmetic, but not in finite precision one used on computers:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 10^{-20} & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$x_1 \approx 1$ & $x_2 \approx 1$
 as $x_1 = 1 + \frac{1}{10^{20}-1}$ &
 $x_2 = 1 - \frac{1}{10^{20}-1}$

In our GE/LU code:

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} 10^{-20} & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{r_2 - 10^{20} r_1} \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} 10^{-20} & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1-10^{20} & 2-10^{20} \end{array} \right)$$

-10^{20} -10^{20}

due to rounding!

$$\Rightarrow x_2 \approx 1, \text{ but } 10^{-20} x_1 + 1 = 1 \Rightarrow x_1 = 0$$

Again, we could have interchanged the rows:

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 10^{-20} & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{r_2 - r_1 \cdot 10^{-20}} \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right)$$

$1-10^{-20} \approx 1$ $1-2 \cdot 10^{-20} \approx 1$

$$\Rightarrow x_1 = 1 = x_2!$$

To avoid both difficulties: with 0 or tiny entries, partial pivoting is used, i.e. choose the pivot to be abs(largest entry).

Partial pivoting:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 & 0 \\ \textcircled{7} & 8 & 0 & 2 \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{\text{pivot}} \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 7 & 8 & 0 & 2 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 1 \end{array} \right) \begin{array}{l} r_2 - r_1 (\frac{4}{7}) \\ \rightarrow \\ r_3 - r_1 (\frac{1}{7}) \end{array}$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 7 & 8 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 3/7 & 6 & -8/7 \\ 0 & \textcircled{6/7} & 3 & 5/7 \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{\text{pivot}} \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 7 & 8 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 6/7 & 3 & 5/7 \\ 0 & 3/7 & 6 & -8/7 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\xrightarrow{r_3 - r_2 (\frac{1}{2})} \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 7 & 8 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 6/7 & 3 & 5/7 \\ 0 & 0 & 9/2 & -3/2 \end{array} \right) \Rightarrow \begin{array}{l} x_3 = -1/3 \\ x_2 = 2 \\ x_1 = -2 \end{array}$$

See handout on partial pivoting SE in matrix form (LU w/ pivoting).

Note: one can perform "complete" pivoting permuting both rows & columns.

% Gaussian elimination with partial pivoting.
% This is (roughly) what Matlab can do when it computes PLU factorizations.

```
for j=1:n-1           % Loop over columns.

[pivot,k] = max(abs(A(j:n,j))); % Find the pivot element in column j.
                % pivot is the largest absolute
                % value of an entry; k+j-1 is its
                % index.
if pivot==0,        % If all entries in the column are 0,
    disp(' Matrix is singular.') % return with an error message.
    break;
end;
temp = A(j,:);      % Otherwise,
A(j,:) = A(k+j-1,:); % Interchange rows j and k+j-1.
A(k+j-1,:) = temp;
tempb = b(j);
b(j) = b(k+j-1);
b(k+j-1) = tempb;

for i=j+1:n         % Loop over rows below j.
    mult = A(i,j)/A(j,j); % Subtract this multiple of row j from
                        % row i to make A(i,j)=0.
    A(i,j:n) = A(i,j:n) - mult*A(j,j:n);
    b(i) = b(i) - mult*b(j);
end
end
```

Operations count:

No additional cost is required for pivoting in terms of FLOPs, but there is $O(n^2)$ cost to find the largest entry & additional cost of data movement. Still, $O(n^2) < O(\frac{2}{3}n^3)$.

FLOPs
for
modified
SE code